Declassified in Part - Sanitized Copy Approved for Release 2011/12/06: CIA-RDP90M00004R001000140002-0 ACTION OCA 87-5544 OFFICE OF CONGRESSIONAL AFFAIRS **Routing Slip** ACTION INFO 1. D/OCA 2. DD/Legislation X 3. DD/Senate Affairs 4. Ch/Senate Affairs 5. DD/House Affairs 6. Ch/House Affairs 7. Admin Officer 8. Executive Officer 9. FOIA Officer 10. Constituent Inquiries Officer 11. 12. SUSPENSE Action Officer: STAT Remarks: RECEIVED GRAD RUN ON 2 NOV HANDCARRIED TO LEG. IF 33/37/37 Name/Date

Declassified in Part - Sanitized Copy Approved for Release 2011/12/06 : CIA-RDP90M00004R001000140002-0



EXECUTIVE OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET WASHINGTON, D.C. 20503

SPECIAL

1 page

October 30, 1987

0/00/05/55/14 87-55/14

LEGISLATIVE REFERRAL MEMORANDUM

OCA FILE

TO:

Legislative Liaison Officer -

National Security Council Central Intelligence Agency

Department of Defense-Sam Brick-697-1305
Department of Justice-Faith Burton-633-5310
Office of Personnel Management-Jim Woodruff-632-5524

SUBJECT: New authority for Federal employees to challenge denial of security clearance and of access to classified information before the Merit Systems Protection Board, proposed by the House Post Office and Civil Service Committee.

The Office of Management and Budget requests the views of your agency on the above subject before advising on its relationship to the program of the President, in accordance with OMB Circular A-19.

A response to this request for your views is needed no later than 2 p.m. today, Friday, October 30, 1987.

Questions should be referred to Hilda Schreiber (395-7362), the legislative analyst in this office.

Naomi R. Sweeney for Assistant Director for Legislative Reference

Legislative Reference

Enclosures

At the end of section 2302 of title 5, United States Code, insert the following new subsection:

(e) A prohibited personnel practice relating to a personnel action which is based on the denial or revocation of a security clearance, or of access to classified information or to a secured installation, is subject to challenge before the Merit Systems Protection Board to the same extent and in the same manner as any other prohibited personnel practice.